ORIGINAL

UL Docket ND. 40-571 and Chacket ND. 98-61

Ms. Magalie Salas
Office of the Secretary of the FCC
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

MAY 2 2 2001 CIB / CIND

Subject: "EX PARTE COMMENT FOR DOCKET NUMBER 90-571 on NECA FUNDS" and "EX PARTE COMMENT TO DOCKET NUMBER 98-67 ON TRS/STS OUTREACH"

May 15,2001

Dear Ms Salas:

We are very pleased that Texas provides Speech to Speech and that the Texas PUC and plans to reissue its RFI for STS Outreach. I live in Texas and want to make telephones more accessible to people with speech disabilities. When such people have appropriate training to use speech to speech (STS), they become more independent, participate more in the community, get jobs etc. The new relay regulations require states to contract with relay providers in such a way that relay is accessible to users with speech disabilities. Providing outreach appropriate to a particular user population is a way of providing access.

SUCCESSES

In California, people with speech disabilities have dramatically improved their lives because of STS. One example is Dr. Robert Aber who experienced great growth in his psychology practice once he was able to communicate with clients over STS. Writer Pam Hoye advanced her writing career substantially using STS to gather facts for articles. Dr Bob Segalman became successful as a social activist helping people with speech disabilities once he began using STS. STS potentially answers the telecommunications needs of thousands of people.

PROVIDING ADEQUATE STS OUTREACH

I want to be sure that Texas provides an adequate STS outreach budget. Minnesota has an excellent outreach service for its approximately 5 million people for about \$120,000. As Texas has 4 times the population of Minnesota, your outreach budget may need to be \$480,000 to be effective. Call volumes could rise substantially with an extensive STS outreach service tailored to people with speech disabilities. Failure to provide appropriate outreach for STS likely means Texas residents with speech disabilities don't enter the mainstream of life.

Because I want this independence for people with speech disabilities in Texas, I strongly urge you to strengthen and intensify the Texas STS outreach service by making it similar to the very successful STS intensive outreach services in California, Minnesota and Maryland.

CONCLUSION

I need to remind you that a large proportion of people with speech disabilities have other disabilities as well; this makes it very difficult for them to advocate for themselves. Therefor, it is necessary for the rest of us to insure that services like STS outreach are adequately provided for. Again, I applaud your plan to issue a RFI for STS outreach.

Sincerely,

Anita Porco

4230 LBJ, Suite 110 Dallas, Texas 75244

cc: Disability Rights Office Consumer Information Bureau Federal Communications Commission, Room 6C-447 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554 cc: Ed Bosson Relay Texas Administrator Office Consumer Protection Public Utility Commission 1701 N. Congress Avenue, P.O. Box 13326 Austin, TX 78711-3326

cc: Kenneth Goulston, Relay Account Manager Sprint Telecommunications Company 500-108th Ave, Suite #800 Bellevue, WA 98004

cc: Michael B. Fingerhut, General Attorney Sprint Corporation, 401 9th Street, NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20004

cc: Bob Segalman, Ph.D.
Department of Rehabilitation
Att: Program Evaluation
2000 Evergreen Street
Sacramento, CA 95815

NURSES TODAY INC. 4230 LBJ STE. 110

0 LBJ 5.
ALLAS, TX 752972-233-9966



Desaluled Rights office Consumer Information Bureau 700 Room 60-441 445 124 St SW Washingtanill Millian 554